Monday, January 29th

SDWG Minutes Monday – Q1 (1/29)

SDWG Administrivia Quarter (Joined by members of the CDA-MG)

- Introductions – Wednesday & last night
- **Agenda Review**
- Announcements
  - Recruit for SDWG Electronic Services and Tools facilitator – Andy (Cerner)
- Update on current ballot - number of comments and planned ballot reconciliation
  - Eric’s ballot 44 A 12 N – format were negatives.
  - XDoc – votes 18 negative / 44 comments (supplemental templates)
  - Should everything be C-CDA
  - Should this be raised to CDA management
- Upcoming ballots
  - HAI R3v2
  - CDA R2.1
  - PSS for Stylesheets – may ballot
  - FHIR IG for HAI

- Implementation-a-thon Report out
  - Jean – report on the Implementation-a-thon
  - 28 registered – 12 new vendors
  - Issues were identified – confluence site
  - Medication Section discussions
    1. Orders for Meds
  - Clinical Notes discussions – re: notes activites
  - Care Plan discussions
  - 15 action items – need to move forward
    1. 6 items for the score card
    2. 2 Example Taskforce
    3. 7 items to Structured Document
  - Status of the UDI templates – question about publishing
  - Virtual IAT – June timeframe
  - Feedback was good – hope to continue with this.
  - May make this a separate meeting.
  - Errata – Note activity template
    1. You should reference Encounter IDs
    2. Encounter in the encounter section

**Allowance to support Encompassing encounter / or encounter section.**

Made by Lisa, Second Rick

Abstain:

3 Against: 0 For: 18 motion passes
- DMP Updates
  - Change the requirements for quorum

**Motion that the quorum rule for SDWG, change from 2 co-chairs to 1 co-chair.**
Abstain: 2 Against: 0 For: 19 motion passes
- Update SDWG 3 Year Plan
- SWOT
  The committee decided to move a number of line items from the SDWG to the CDA MG. There is a lot of interest in comparing to the mappings from various groups from C-CDA to FHIR. We should consider looking at the Glide path document – to work on technical support data. 21st Century Care act
- Review PBS metrics and work group health
- Expiring Standards
- Aging Projects/document

**Q2 - Joint with Patient Care (PC hosting).**

- PC hosting SD, FHIR-I, and EC Agenda: Notes Profile for FHIR Composition resource; AND Health Concern (e.g. tracking, linking heterogeneous resources in FHIR) and Clinical Impression FHIR resource

  FHIR – Composition Discussion

**SDWG Minutes Monday Q3 (1/29)**

- PSS C-CDA Supplemental Templates for Pregnancy IG
- IPS Ballot reconciliation (RHausam)
- Send group to Pharmacy to discuss CDA Example Task Force use of doseQuantity/@unit and administrationUnitCode/@code

**PSS C-CDA Supplemental Templates for Pregnancy IG**

Presented by Laura Conn from CDC (see PSS)

Develop guidance for transmitting pregnancy information not restricted to if there was a reportable condition or problem Can transmit normal pregnancy data only if normal.

FHIR product will not be part of this, but may explore.

Gay made motion made for SDWG to accept PSS and be primary sponsor/Sean seconded 27/0/0. Motion passes.

**IPS Ballot reconciliation (RHausam and Giorgio)**

See ppt

2nd STU round.
PSS for FHIR IG still needs steering division approval

IPS – 143 comments, 10 negs

Discussion wrt exchange of documents from region where SNOMED CT IP is covered to one where it is not.

Comment #2 – Disposed as non-persuasive 26/0/0 Motion made by Cerner/ seconded Gay

Comment 1, 3 and 4 – Complaints that this guide does not look exactly like the trifolia export

Dispensed as not persuasive with mod – referred to CDA Mgt Group. Put of scope for this project. Rob Hausam moved François seconded to accept disposal 0/0/26.

Comment about scope description asking to add text to scope wrt nutrition. Persuasive with of Scope example will be removed. François motioned, Girogi seconded 26/0/0

Comment 46 – Persuasive with mod, will clarify where nutrition info would be handled and will provide some examples. Rob moved, François seconded 26/0/0

Comment 48 about handling empty section – this spec is requiring an assertion that there is no info as an entry, rather than nulling the section. Examples with codes are provided for common. But can’t use SNOMED CT in examples. Not persuauvoe with mod; François motioned, Girogi seconded 26/0/0

No further business

SDWG Minutes Monday - Q4 (1/29)

**Nutrition Ballot Reconciliation**

Eric Parapini presenting ballot comments spreadsheet

Overall ballot comment numbers were reviewed in Q1.

Reviewing comments focusing on document format. All comments are persuasive. Voting on 17 of the 67. Comments 20, 21, 30, 36, 40, 42, 43, 44, 47, 48, 49, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60.

Motion to vote: Sarah/Eric P.

Vote: 13/0/1

Keith concerned about continuing to use PDF documents for ballots. Deferring to CDA Management.

Comment 35.

Motion to vote: Eric P./Sean

Vote: 14/0/0

Comments indicating that there is too much info, repeating info, etc. Negative votes considered persuasive. Affirmatives considered persuasive on a case-by-case.

Discussed Keith’s comments on use-cases. We will expand the use-cases to include transfer of care nutrition information / care plan. We will include traceability of where the IG artifacts are used in the use case.

Comments 1, 33, 34, 37. Persuasive w/ Mod.

Motion to vote: Eric P./Zabrina

Vote: 14/0/0

Keith’s comment challenges the guidance on the assessment. Keith is concerned that the vital signs must be included in the document twice to comply with the IG and MU certification. Keith recommends separating
the assessment into a new document, that is referenced by the Care Plan. In this case, the Care Plan document would summarize, while the assessment document provides the detail.

Group considers removing the organizer templates and using health concerns section to store the assessment details.

No conclusion. Lindsey is going to research some additional use-cases around how much assessment information is needed to be useful in real-world settings.

Discussing comments related to value sets. Development of nutrition-specific value sets is in progress. These value sets will be incorporated into the IG. A number of SMEs have been identified to review the value sets when done. These comments are considered Persuasive.

Comments 22, 24, 25, 26
Motion to vote: Eric P./Zabrina G.
Vote: 12/0/0

Reviewing comments from Brent, related to identifying LOINC codes that are not yet in the IG document.

Comments 3, 4, 5, 6
Motion to vote: Sarah G./Zabrina G.
Vote: 12/0/1

Reviewing a couple comments from KP and Lisa N., requesting that a couple diagrams be added to the IG.

Disposition: Diagrams have will be incorporated in the IG.

Comments 27, 41
Motion to vote: Sarah G./Lindsey H.
Vote: 12/0/0

Two comments focused on document-level LOINC code.

Disposition: Considered – Question Answered

Comments 23, 51
Motion to move: Lindsey H./Zabrina G.
Vote: 10/0/0

Review comment suggesting that a template is missing a component.

Comment 7
Disposition: Persuasive

Motion to vote: Sarah G./Eric P.
Vote: 10/0/0

Voted on 33 comments this session.

Tuesday, January 30th

SDWG Minutes Tuesday 1/30 Q1
Hosting FHIR-I (Magazine - 3rd Floor)

HAI FHIR modeling
Sarah presenting. Showing “Late Onset Sepsis/Meningitis Event (LOS) Form”

HAI includes many document types. Now working on modeling in FHIR.

Grahame: Questionnaire is a data gathering format. Not necessarily a great way to share data.

Suggesting potentially creating a transform to convert the questionnaire into a set of resources (such as Composition, observation, etc.)

Sarah: Data is not shared with anyone else. NHSN gets the data and puts it directly in their DB.

Conclusion: Use a questionnaire, and further constrain the questionnaire’s items/questions using “definition”, where needed.

Lisa would like to know if CDA and FHIR can standardize on using StructureDefinition to represent both templates and profiles. Wants to eliminate repeating the same work in two different models.

Grahame: Brought this to the SD table last year. Received push-back. Concept was tabled at the time.

Second issue: There will be challenges in representing both in StructureDefinition, because of the differences between CDA and FHIR.

VA is interested in this work.

Sean offers to demonstrate representing a CDA template in a FHIR StructureDefinition to the group within a month. Will highlight challenges faced during the process.

A related issue is the various number of vendors that are converting CDA documents but doing it differently.
Lisa offers to identify multiple CDA-to-FHIR transformation tools and test compare their results. Focusing on one direction first: CDA to FHIR. Later will attempt FHIR to CDA.

Reviewing action items from CDA implementathon. Suggesting medications/prescriptions needs to be reviewed due to implementation inconsistencies. Confirm or clarify the conceptual use of medication representation across CCD and the Discharge Summary.

Next step: Discuss this with the examples task force.

Q2 - Joint w/Voc (Vocabulary Hosting)

- Valueset Management (LNelson)
- Strategy & Direction for Valueset Maintenance

SDWG Minutes Tuesday 1/30 Q3

- Calvin goes to ITS to discuss IVL_RTO
- C-CDA Scorecard Rubric (MRahn)
- Advanced Directive Review (LNelson)

SDWG Minutes Tuesday 1/30 Q4

Planning for next release of C-CDA

Missing participants to adequately address this

Future requirements

Calvin presenting the TEFCA PDF to review

Intent is to setup a process to work with industry to create a predictable schedule for data prioritization. Candidate and emerging data classes. Candidate status/category is the flag that items are next in line for national interchange USCDI's drive is due to 21st century act, which expects that all patient's data is exchangeable (through APIs). SDWG reviewing "candidate" data classes for 2019 to determine if data classes are sufficiently supported by C-CDA.

Are all of these USCDI data classes needing fully structured/coded data? Interested in seeing this answer in an appendix in the USCDI document.

This will help drive where we want to go with C-CDA. Can use USCDI to help determine how much of this data is representable in C-CDA.

USCDI uses “cognitive status” while C-CDA uses “mental health/status”. May need to bring “cognitive status” back to C-CDA. Some concepts don't translate easily.

Calvin: Need more guidance on when to use encounter vs. encompassing encounter.

Is “Discharge Instructions” only intended for hospital discharges? Or are can you be discharged from other settings, that apply to “Discharge Instructions”? Potentially also “Same Day Discharge”.

BCBS thinks “Discharge Instructions” is a generic statement and does not mean specifically “hospital”.

“Medication Allergies” needs to point to a specific part of the specifications to clarify what kind of medication allergies are being referred to.

“Case workers” under “family health history” should not be included in “family health history”, unless it’s related to “social history”. Pedigree aspects are not represented well in C-CDA “family history”. “who we want the history from” and “who gets it” are two separate constructs we should be careful about.

Gender identity, sexual orientation, and “birth certificate sex” need to be captured in C-CDA, including effectiveTime. Potentially use Observation for this.

“Pediatric vital signs” should not reference “normal”. Should only capture the observations, but not interpret them as [ab]normal. “normal” changes over time. Argument that the “norm comparison” is valuable in certain cases. Consider capturing both the raw data and the interpretation of the data compared to averages/normal. Multiple use-cases underlying the desire to include this data.
"Pregnancy status” description doesn’t seem sufficient. Easy in C-CDA to say a patient is pregnant, not easy to say a patient is not pregnant. During zika outbreak, labs couldn’t run tests on all patients and wanted to know who is pregnant to limit the number of tests they had to run.

“reason for hospitalization” – C-CDA has “reason for visit”, which is more generic. Need to merge administrative and clinical data to more clearly state “admitting diagnosis” vs. “principal diagnosis” vs. etc.

“Care provider demographics” – missing “gender” of care team member. Casey questions if it is important. Gender may be important to the patient when selecting a care team.

Individual Goals and Provider Goals – Capture the “author” for the goal. Interpreting “Individual Goals” are “goals defined by the patient”. It is fine for Individual Goals to be “free text”, not requiring coded data.

“Referring or transition provider’s name and contact info” – What happens if a referral is created in one doctor’s office and transferred to another doctor’s office? Can’t alter an authenticated referral document.

- Planning for next release of C-CDA and future requirements

2. Q4 - Send Representatives to Patient Care
  - PC hosting OO, Vocab, Clin Genomics, CIMI, SD Agenda: Negation + other vocab topics

**Wednesday, January 31st**

**SDWG Minutes Wednesday Q1 (1/31)**

**Nutrition Ballot Reconciliation (Eric P)**
Issues related to an organizer template containing a component that doesn’t provide any additional guidance. Adding additional description to indicate that the requirement for the component is to indicate that the organizer must have something. Also adding additional custom Schematron to enforce the component requirement.
Comments: 14, 15, 16, 17
Related to four comments, none are NEG.
Motion to vote: Eric P/Sean M
Vote: 11/0/2

Within the scope of Care Plans, considering separating the assessment section or removing the five organizers and letting assessments live on their own outside of the organizers. Is there value added in having organizers in the assessment section? Conclusion is to leave it structured as-is but pay attention to determine if implementers struggle to use it. Going to adopt the proposed wording. Use the pilot to determine if changes are needed.
Comment: 39
Motion to vote: Eric P./Sarah G.
Vote: 13/0/1

Comment asks for additional measures for children. Resolution: Will ask AND to supply additional measures.
Comment: 12
Motion to vote: Eric P./Sarah G.
Vote: 12/0/2

Discussion only, no vote: Negative comment on organizer template, having multiple ways of expressing the same information. Plan to add clarification to the description on when to use the various entries within the organizer. Additional description will be reviewed with workgroup before voting.
Total comments voted: 4

**Vital Records Death Reporting modelling issue (Sarah G)**
For “death certificates needing to be voided”, current design is to send a relatively empty CDA document with an sdctc:statusCode set to “nullified”.
Attempting to address “canceled death” certificates. Originally suggested to use a V2 event to notify parties about the canceled death. Pushed to address this in CDA.
Void is when you (for example) “spill a cup of coffee on the death certificate”, canceled is when the death certificate is sent on accident. This should be addressed using a sdctc:statusCode of “cancelled”.


Versioning issue: replace the document (new document id, same set id, new version number).
May need additional information (such as date) for “cancelled” scenario.
Need to execute this change in ballot, rather than an errata.

SDWG Minutes Wednesday Q2 (1/31)

eLTSS update (EGallego)
Primary sponsor is CBCP. Have existing PSS with primary group.
Launched in Nov 2014, joint between ONC and CMS/ONC. Driven by requirements for TEFT. Working with 6 TEFT grantees. Supported by CMS Requirements for Person-Centered Service Plans.
Scope: 1) Identifying standardized components or data elements for person-centered service plans. 2) Field testing requirements
Starts with assessments. Data is shared with multiple parties. Ends with payer.
56 total data elements. Lots of financial information. Targeting both FHIR and CDA templates/profiles.
Working with Georgia Department of Community Health. Targeting a September 2018 ballot. Looking for organizations to help test. Unable to attend HL7 WGM in Germany.
Need to determine how updates to FHIR (ex: R1) will impact project.
Long Term Services & Supports: Combination of medical and non-medical services. Approximately 10 examples given, including case management, respite care.
Started by defining a core set of data elements. Not concerned with FHIR vs. CDA at this time. Will provide mappings to FHIR and CDA. Produced large mapping spreadsheet. Started with mapping to FHIR, now also working on C-CDA.
Reviewed BCBS Waiver Process Overview. Pre-Screening -> Assessments -> Service Plan / Care Plan > ( Prior Auth <-> Claims). Lots involved in pre-screening.
Next Steps: 1) Draft Deliverables for evaluation. 2) Test FHIR resources and C-CDA templates at FHIR Connectathon and C-CDA implementation-a-thon.
Most of the desired tweaks to the standards are related to FHIR.
Not asking anything of SDWG, yet. Possibly in the future. Intended for information only.

Valueset Discussion (LNelson)
- Missing value sets
- Natural changes (awareness to down-stream effects of versioning)
- Bad content
- Problematic valueset definitions – expansion produces unexpected results

HSLOC Value Set Discussion (GayeD)

Interaction between CDC and terminology group. C-CDA value set is missing a couple codes that CDC uses. Suggesting that a single value set is used, replacing both.
HAI value set has 40 codes more than the C-CDA value set. Some have the same code but different display names. Both contain several codes that are definitely not patient care location, such as “laundry room” and “float pool”.
Suggesting that either the description/definition changes, or the content changes, and that they are both aligned.
Who does the work? Is SDWG the right domain for this?
Dan: HSLOC codes are intended to provide mappings between codes, to aggregate the data across healthcare facilities. Legacy uses of the value set includes some non-typical codes (such as “laundry room”) to additionally report healthcare worker infections, such as where they contracted it. Suggests cleaning up the value set. Additionally, suggests a new value set that is specific to NHSN’s use-case: NHSN Healthcare Facility Patient Care Location. Working with Sheila Abner to scope out work effort, but expects less than a year to complete the work for defining the new value set.
There will likely be issues with this for the life of the specifications. Need to identify/capture the issues and resolve them via process. Need to align terminology publication process with the industry’s capabilities to keep up. An update interval that is too often would impact not only vendors but providers.
eCQM value sets are updated twice a year, which seemingly vendors/providers have struggled with.
Minimum of 3 months of prep time for vendors/providers to perform vocabulary updates.
Generally agreed, creating a release package on a regular interval is a good. Need to figure out a reasonable cycle? A considerable amount of work. May need to reach out to providers/vendors and discuss update frequency.
Cannot conclude a solution in this group alone. Need to define the problem (perhaps in TSC) and take it to HL7 board.
For Wound Type value set: CIMI is working with Wound Type, and slowly taking responsibility for it. Would like C-CDA to be able to take advantage of that work. Not sure how, though?

Patient Care - Potential overlap in value sets in Allergy Status/Problem Status/CareTeam member function to review
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XDOC Ballot reconciliation
XDoc is a minimally structure C-CDA.
Argues that displaying a Progress Note as an example of an XDoc is not ideal, given that Progress Note is a document type elsewhere in C-CDA.
Suggest creating a table that shows the relationship between document-level template ids and document type codes (Progress Note, Procedure Note, etc.) Table should indicate whether each satisfies meaningful use.
Add example not already in C-CDA (ex: Ambulance Report). Add clarification table around as described above.
Brett would like additional description revolving workflow, to indicate that XDoc is not the end-goal.
Add multiple examples and update existing examples to demonstrate the scenarios targeted.
Comments: 7, 8, 14, 15
Motion: Sarah G/Rick G
Vote: 25/0/4

Lisa would like more guidance on the use of document “code” for specific scenarios.
Errata has been updated in C-CDA R2.1 that changes affects this. Will copy constraints from the latest errata.
Discussion over the strength of section/code (currently is SHALL). Should not be more restrictive than C-CDA. Section codes should use the section codes defined in C-CDA. “text” is SHALL. “title” is SHOULD.
Comments: 35, 36
Motion: Sarah G./Lisa N.
Vote: 22/0/0

Reviewed Keith’s NEG comment. Determined that previous discussion covered the concern.
Comment: 2
Motion: Sarah G./Lisa N.
Vote: 22/0/0

C-CDA Supplemental Templates for Infectious Diseases update
Supplementing three C-CDA documents (Discharge Summary, CCD, Transfer Summary). Goal to improve infectious disease data exchange when patients transferred. SDWG is primary sponsors and approved PSS Sept 2017.
Begun gathering requirements, reviewing inter-facility infection control transfer forms. SME’s providing input for sections, data elements, value sets.
Proposing that identified data elements be additional optional information captured in C-CDA.
Reviewed slides showing metadata related to use-case. Where possible, existing C-CDA templates should be used to represent this data.
Desire to limit use of value sets to reduce the burden of maintenance on large value sets. Concerns about validation when doing this.
NHSN will talk to Pharmacy about how to express drug data. Plan to form a sub-group to discuss how to address additional types of data.
SDWG Minutes Wednesday, Q4 (1/31)

CDA R2.1 Style Sheet PSS
Updated project scope statement to include: 1) Address existing gForge tracker issues 2) Address issue related to taking out list bullet when you don’t want it 3) Usage documentation 4) Update CDA sample file package with specification, if not already being done by CDA project
Consider using XSLT parameters to generate varying amounts of header information. A default will have to be used for browsers, need to establish what the minimum/default is. Consider multiple versions of the stylesheet that are multi-lingual.
Discussion over how much the stylesheet should address.
Updating project timeline to coordinate with CDA R2.1 publication schedule/deadline.
Determined that this affects many different types of organizations (basically anyone that exchanges a CDA).
Motion to approve PSS: Lisa N./Andrew
Vote: 17/0/0

Orthodontic Claims Attachments PSS
Dental data is not often shared. When it is, normally a screenshot or PDF, unstructured. Goal is to get the information in a structured format so that the payer can process it more easily.
DOD pays for a lot of outside dental care; they contract out to community dentists. Data is not often returned to the DOD after a referral/visit. Want to get the data back into the patients record to assist in determining the patient’s readiness.
CDA management and attachments groups voted to approve the PSS.
Unsure what the relationship should be for this project and SDWG. The introduction of CDA management group makes this less clear.
Motion to co-sponsor the project: Sarah G./Ben F.
Vote: 16/0/0
Requesting that the PSS be posted to the list serv so that it can be reviewed and approved in future session.

Nutrition Ballot Reconciliation
Proposing re-opening Keith’s comment, getting rid of the assessment section, and rename the existing health status evaluation and outcomes section to include assessments.
Request that additional information be provided to make it very clear what goes in “health concerns” vs. what goes in “evaluation outcomes”. Additional documentation needed.
Recommendation: Don’t use nutrition assessment in health concerns section.
Not deciding today. Going to make some adjustments and see how well they work.

Thursday, February 1st

1. Q1 - Hosting: Imaging Integration

   Q1 - Send Representatives to Patient Care

   • PC hosting SD, Pharm, LHS Agenda: All things care plan and care coordination.

   ODH Ballot Reconciliation
ODH Ballot Reconciliation

Comment: 70
Persuasive
Motion to vote: Lori Forquett/Genny Luensman
Vote: 5/0/0
Comment: 72
Not Persuasive – Job history does not convey “choosing not to work”, as opposed to being “unemployed”.
Motion to vote: Lori Forquett/Genny Luensman
Vote: 6/0/0
Comment: 75
Persuasive with Mod – Updating name of template and description.
Motion to vote: Lori/Genny
Vote: 6/0/0
Comment: 76
Persuasive – Add a sample file
Motion to vote: Lori/Genny
Vote: 6/0/0
Comment: 102
Persuasive – Will update the representation of notes
Motion to vote: Lori/Genny
Vote: 6/0/0
Comment: 103
Not Persuasive
Motion to vote: Lori/Andrew
Vote: 5/0/0
Comments: 107, 108, 109, 111
Persuasive – Will correct the inline errors/syntax in the samples.
Motion to vote: Lori/Andrew
Vote: 6/0/0
Comment: 110
Not Persuasive – The same as another comment
Motion to vote: Lori/Andrew
Vote: 6/0/0
Comment: 140
Persuasive
Motion to vote: Lori/Andrew
Vote: 6/0/0
Comment: 146
Persuasive – Will change to new code system but will ensure the sample is correct.
Motion to vote: Lori/Andrew
Vote: 6/0/0
Comment: 148
Persuasive with Mod
Motion to vote: Lori/Andrew
Vote: 7/0/0
Done with NEG votes, with the exception of the LOINC issues requiring additional research.
Comment: 11
Considered – no action. Disability status is not incorporated at this time.
Motion to vote: Lori/Genny
Vote: 7/0/0
Comment: 31
Motion to vote: Lori/Genny
Vote: 7/0/0
Comment: 41
Not Persuasive
Motion to vote: Lori/Genny
Vote: 7/0/0
Comment: 43
Persuasive
Motion to vote: Lori/Genny
Vote: 7/0/0
Comments: 46, 47
Not Persuasive
Motion to vote: Lori/Genny
Vote: 7/0/0
Comment: 48
Persuasive – Will change as recommended
Motion to vote: Lori/Genny
Vote: 7/0/0

Q2 - Send Representatives to Patient Care

- PC hosting SD, Templates Proposed agenda: Template update (Template co-chair/rep)
  Template versioning; Structured Doc/CDA update (SDWG co-chairs) Patient Care
  update: Allergy/Intolerance harmonization C-CDA and FHIR

SDWG Minutes Thursday 2/1 Q3

Hosting FHIR-I
Regarding previous discussion about representing Notes in FHIR. Thrown off by sentence “it does not
actually contain the content” in the Composition resource description.
Rick proposing adjusting the description of Composition to be more clear, and allow content within
Composition.
gForge Tracker ID: 14723
Motion to vote: Rick/Andrew
Vote: 25/0/0

Comment indicating that Composition identifier should not be considered a unique ID. SDWG believes
it is up to the committee owning a resource to decide on the cardinality for a field in a resource. SDWG
aligned the cardinality of identifier (in this case) with CDA. Referring to FHIR-I for further discussion.
gForge Tracker ID: 15095
Motion to move this to FHIR-I: Rick/Gaye
Vote: 25/0/1

Nutrition Ballot Reconciliation
Comment: 2
Persuasive w/ Mod – If they are the same, we will use existing templates. Suspect that they will all be
specialized, though.
Motion to vote: Eric P/Sarah G
Vote: 18/0/1

HAI PSS
Should not have multiple PSS for both FHIR and CDA projects. Run as a single project for both CDA and
FHIR.
PSS has already been approved before. Looking for approval on changes related to adding FHIR
implementation guide to the project. Will complicate the process running working with two product
families at the same time under a single project; others (ODH) have done it already, and confirm it is
difficult.
(Templates work group stated interest)
Question wrt STU version numbers and what it should be. Conclusion made that we should talk to Lynn
Lasko about project names (FHIR and the CDA version) and the files and STU names. Sarah will bring
the topic to Lynn and bring back the answer on a follow-up call. HAI FHIR/CDA concluded @ 14:35

Nutrition Ballot Reconciliation
Comments, 19, 50, 52, 53 and 54: These comments are all having to with C-CDA templates that the
project thinks are out of scope templates.
Comment 19: Not related.
Comment 50: Considered – Question Answered. Can’t relax constraint because this is how it is defined in C-CDA.
Comment 52, 53: Not Related.
Comment 54: Considered – Question Answered.
Disposition Comment: If wanting to pursue, comment on C-CDA R2.1.
Motion to approve dispositions: Eric P./Sarah G.
Vote: 20/0/1

Comment 8: Not persuasive
Comment 9: Considered – No action required
Comment 11: Considered – No action required
Comment 31: Persuasive
Comment 32: Persuasive w/ Mod
Comment 62: Considered – Question Answered
Motion to approve dispositions: Sarah G./Gaye D.
Vote: 20/0/1

**SDWG Minutes Thursday Q4 (2/1)**

**WIKI -> V3 Publishing**

**Call w/ Andy**

Taking raw wiki pages, converting them to MIF Publishing XML, which follows V3 publishing format. Has a customized version of a WIKI processor that needs some additional testing.
Name of the link should match the name of the page in the WIKI.
Calvin informed Andy there are some DIVS that may need to be cleaned up (8-12 of them); the divs that are used to mark “yellow”.

**CDA R2.1 working session**

Need to create a sample document that incorporates. Looking for volunteers to do this.
Potentially reach out to “security” group to help (easily) create a signatureText example.

- Australia looking to have more than one legal authenticator.
- SignatureText is going to be included now. Would allow for the computation of a digital signature.
- Does putting a signature on a document warrant creating a new version of the document? May have touched on this issue in another meeting... Perhaps can dig up minutes from the meeting to determine the conclusions.
- Separating negationInd into actionNegationInd and valueNegationInd.
- Adding time fields for “activity” and “availability”.

Providing specific guidance to balloters on the scope of the ballot.

2. Q4 - Send Representative to LHS for discussion about Care Team Member topics (LNelson and others)

**Friday, February 2nd**

1. Q1 - Send Representatives to Templates (Templates Hosting)
2. Q1 - NO MEETING
3. Q2 - NO MEETING
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>E-Mail</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Calvin Beebe</td>
<td>Mayo Clinic</td>
<td><a href="mailto:calvin@mayo.edu">calvin@mayo.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Shant Mahade</td>
<td>IML</td>
<td><a href="mailto:shantmahade@iml.com">shantmahade@iml.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jose LeBlanc</td>
<td>Kiserworth</td>
<td><a href="mailto:joseleblanc@kiserworth.com">joseleblanc@kiserworth.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Linda Michalko</td>
<td>Mormon</td>
<td><a href="mailto:linda.michalko@mormon.com">linda.michalko@mormon.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Marc Parrense</td>
<td>LANTANA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:marcparrense@lantana.com">marcparrense@lantana.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Rick Cooper</td>
<td>UH</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rick.cooper@uh.com">rick.cooper@uh.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Rick Sraff</td>
<td>Sandy</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rick.sraff@sandy.com">rick.sraff@sandy.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Stacia Kizman</td>
<td>ISU</td>
<td><a href="mailto:stacia.kizman@isu.edu">stacia.kizman@isu.edu</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Thomas Kuhn</td>
<td>ACP</td>
<td><a href="mailto:thomas.kuhn@acp.com">thomas.kuhn@acp.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sarah Ayres</td>
<td>GMOP</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sarah.ayres@gmop.com">sarah.ayres@gmop.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Joseph Schneider</td>
<td>NMCP</td>
<td><a href="mailto:joseph.schneider@nmcp.com">joseph.schneider@nmcp.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Keith Boue</td>
<td>GE</td>
<td><a href="mailto:keith.boue@ge.com">keith.boue@ge.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Steven Pizzo</td>
<td>OCR</td>
<td><a href="mailto:steven.pizzo@ocr.com">steven.pizzo@ocr.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Sean Moynan</td>
<td>VHA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sean.moynan@vha.com">sean.moynan@vha.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Andrew Stolper</td>
<td>Cerner</td>
<td><a href="mailto:andrew.stolper@cerner.com">andrew.stolper@cerner.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Rachel Nolan</td>
<td>EPI</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rachel.nolan@epi.com">rachel.nolan@epi.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Lisa Spencer</td>
<td>Mayo</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lisa.spencer@mayo.com">lisa.spencer@mayo.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>David Peters</td>
<td>ADVA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:david.peters@adva.com">david.peters@adva.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Jessica Giese</td>
<td>OOD/VA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jessica.giese@oodva.com">jessica.giese@oodva.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Mary Terry</td>
<td>Flatiron Health</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mary@flatiron.com">mary@flatiron.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Sarah Gaunt</td>
<td>LANTANA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sarah.gaunt@lantana.com">sarah.gaunt@lantana.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Lisa Nelson</td>
<td>LOTS</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lisa.nelson@lots.com">lisa.nelson@lots.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Sean Meunier</td>
<td>LANTANA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sean.meunier@lantana.com">sean.meunier@lantana.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Joe Lamy</td>
<td>AEGIS / SJA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:joe.lamy@aegis-sja.com">joe.lamy@aegis-sja.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Deanna Frey</td>
<td>AEGIS / SJA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:deanna.frey@aegis-sja.com">deanna.frey@aegis-sja.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Matt Renken</td>
<td>AEGIS / SJA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:matt.renken@aegis-sja.com">matt.renken@aegis-sja.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>John Renken</td>
<td>AEGIS / SJA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:john.renken@aegis-sja.com">john.renken@aegis-sja.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Matt Raphael</td>
<td>AEGIS / SJA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mattraphael@aegis-sja.com">mattraphael@aegis-sja.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Alaska Elliott</td>
<td>CDC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:alaska.e@cdc.gov">alaska.e@cdc.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Susan Rhorer</td>
<td>NCI</td>
<td><a href="mailto:susan.rhorer@nci.nih.gov">susan.rhorer@nci.nih.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Kathryn Stiles</td>
<td>University of CA</td>
<td>kathryn.stiles@universityofca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Janice Johnson</td>
<td>HIC/AHCA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:janice.johnson@hicafrica.org">janice.johnson@hicafrica.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Francisco Barba</td>
<td>HIC Floor</td>
<td><a href="mailto:francisco.barba@hicare.com">francisco.barba@hicare.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Rob Flaxman</td>
<td>Flaxman Consulting</td>
<td><a href="mailto:robert.flaxman@flaxman.com">robert.flaxman@flaxman.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Caterina Alzona</td>
<td>HC Floor</td>
<td><a href="mailto:caterina.alzona@hc_floor.com">caterina.alzona@hc_floor.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Christos Gatter</td>
<td>HC Floor</td>
<td><a href="mailto:christos.gatter@hcfloor.com">christos.gatter@hcfloor.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Shu Zhang</td>
<td>HC Floor</td>
<td><a href="mailto:shazhang@hcfloor.com">shazhang@hcfloor.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Laura Cooper</td>
<td>CDC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:laura.cooper@cdc.gov">laura.cooper@cdc.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Tasha Louden</td>
<td>CDC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tasha.louden@cdc.gov">tasha.louden@cdc.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Marty McGee</td>
<td>CDC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:marty.mgee@cdc.gov">marty.mgee@cdc.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Rick Cooper</td>
<td>CDC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rick.cooper@cdc.gov">rick.cooper@cdc.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Raychelle Hargre</td>
<td>Dynamic Health IT</td>
<td><a href="mailto:raychelle.hargre@dynamichealthit.com">raychelle.hargre@dynamichealthit.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Ruth Aitcheson</td>
<td>Dynamic Health IT</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ruth.aitcheson@dynamichealthit.com">ruth.aitcheson@dynamichealthit.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Mary Langford</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mary.langford@va.gov">mary.langford@va.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Raychelle Hargre</td>
<td>Dynamic Health IT</td>
<td><a href="mailto:raychelle.hargre@dynamichealthit.com">raychelle.hargre@dynamichealthit.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If found, please return to Calvin Beebe - Cell Phone: (507) 281 - 0551.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>E-Mail</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Steve Nielson</td>
<td>RiverBend</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arun Verma</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sarah Tantivy</td>
<td>LMCo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LISA THOMPSON</td>
<td>LANTANA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>David Cooper</td>
<td>Redox</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bruce Shaffer</td>
<td>GEMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Scott Darrow</td>
<td>HISCI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Matt Johnson</td>
<td>ORC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Brian Norwood</td>
<td>HSC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lisa Keigley</td>
<td>LANTANA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Janene Bower</td>
<td>VHDA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ken Lockett</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ken McDonald</td>
<td>LANTANA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Martin Kosner</td>
<td>Philips</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Raymond Hixson</td>
<td>EpC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Matt Cizik</td>
<td>HSC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Jessica (Francey)</td>
<td>Dod/VAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Steve Finn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>George Brown</td>
<td>Allscripts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sam Brown</td>
<td>Allscripts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Lisa C. Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Ryan McCullough</td>
<td>Dynamic Healthcare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Lisa Nelson</td>
<td>LHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Steven Beadle</td>
<td>CCR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Chris Miller</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Russ Hixson</td>
<td>HSC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Nancy McVey</td>
<td>DoQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Sarah Beebe</td>
<td>Mayo Clinic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Rachel Bründchen</td>
<td>Dynamic Healthcare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Krista Wilson</td>
<td>Cigna</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Sue McKnight</td>
<td>UVM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Marcus Miller</td>
<td>HSC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Sarah Anderson</td>
<td>HSC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Scott Cooper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Mary Terry</td>
<td>Flowers Health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Sioned Baymore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Lisa Thompson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Lisa Myers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Nancy Brown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Robert Brown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If found, please return to Calvin Beebe - Cell Phone: (507) 261 - 0691
## Structured Document Work Group

### Thursday Attendance Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>E-Mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Brandt, Kristine</td>
<td>RGH Health Care</td>
<td>kristine.bbrandt@ rhg.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Depp, Catherine</td>
<td>DMC HealthCare</td>
<td>catherine.depp@ dmc.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Flanders, Ray</td>
<td>DMC HealthCare</td>
<td>ray.flanders@ dmc.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hobbs,等行业</td>
<td>DMC HealthCare</td>
<td>industries.hobbs@ dmc.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Jones, Sarah</td>
<td>DMC HealthCare</td>
<td>sarah.jones@ dmc.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kim, John</td>
<td>DMC HealthCare</td>
<td>john.kim@ dmc.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lee, Michael</td>
<td>DMC HealthCare</td>
<td>michael.lee@ dmc.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ma, Emily</td>
<td>DMC HealthCare</td>
<td>emily.ma@ dmc.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Nguyen, Thanh</td>
<td>DMC HealthCare</td>
<td>thanh.nguyen@ dmc.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Smith, Adam</td>
<td>DMC HealthCare</td>
<td>adam.smith@ dmc.com</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If found, please return to Calvin Beebe - Cell Phone: (507) 261-0691