V2 Publishing

Conference Call on 11/30/2005

Attendees
Jane Foard (Chapters 10 and 17)
Tony Julian (Chapter 14)
June Rosploch (Chapter 15)
Joann Larson (Chapter 8)
Scott Robertson (Chapter 4)
Pete Gilbert (HQ)

Around the Table

Joann reported that she has been busily working on v3 material for the January ballot cycle. She did submit a ballot reconciliation package for Chapter 8 to Pete on 11/31, and he will upload that to the website. Joann needs to follow up with Chapter 8's negative voters, and will be making final edits to the chapter soon.

Tony reported that the reconciliation packages are in. They may need to correct some errors that have been reported on the mailing lists. If these are not substantive, they should be Technical Corrections and Tony will make the changes. The email read:

From: owner-strucdoc@lists.hl7.org [mailto:owner-strucdoc@lists.hl7.org] On Behalf Of Alberto Saez Torres
Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2005 1:59 AM
To: xml@lists.hl7.org; Structured Documents digest
Subject: Some errata in the Chapter 2 o V2.5

Hello. I don't know if these are the right forum. Please, forgive my ignorance.

I think I've located some errata in the normative chapters of the 2.5 message version.

1) In Page Page 2-13 , in Figure 2-1. "Delimiter values" The paragraph:

"Escape character for use with any field represented by an ST, TX or FT data type, or for use with the data (fourth) component of the ED data type."

Should say (in my opinion) "...or for use with the data (fifth) component of the ED data type"

2) In Page
"The maximum length is not of conceptual importance in the abstract message or the HL7 coding rules. The length of a field is normative."

Should say "..The length of a field is not normative." · (In my opinion)

3) In the Data Type Chapter, the components table for XTN (Extended Telecommunication Number) doesn't correspond with the text description. Page 2-239.

4) In the MSG data type description (message type, used in MSH-9) it is said that all the components (message code, message trigger, message structure) are required, but the schema marks all of them as optional.

So, the schema should be change from:

```xml
<xsd:complexType name="MSG">
  <xsd:sequence>
    <xsd:element ref="MSG.1" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
    <xsd:element ref="MSG.2" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
    <xsd:element ref="MSG.3" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
  </xsd:sequence>
</xsd:complexType>
```

To:

```xml
<xsd:complexType name="MSG">
  <xsd:sequence>
    <xsd:element ref="MSG.1"/>
    <xsd:element ref="MSG.2"/>
    <xsd:element ref="MSG.3"/>
  </xsd:sequence>
</xsd:complexType>
```

That's all. Thanks for your help.
Regards

Alberto Sáez Torres

CINNTES

Tony reported that Chapter 5 is missing a reconciliation package, and Tony will follow up with Graham on this.

Scott reported that Chapters 4 and 7 are still missing reconciliation packages, and he will try to follow up on this. His goal is to have paperwork complete and final edits made to the chapters for the January WGM.

There was a discussion concerning tightening of reconciliation and paperwork requirements from HQ. Pete reported that there will be an ANSI audit next year, and if the paperwork is not completed, we will be dinged by the auditors. HQ is trying to get our ducks in a row before the auditors arrive.

Pete reported that Bob Barker has left Siemens and will no longer be serving as editor for Chapter 11. Bob has completed the reconciliation paperwork and has turned the edited chapter in. The committee will need to find a new editor for this chapter.

Pete reported that he will be leaving HL7 next week. His duties working with the chapter editors will be taken over by someone at HQ. He will find the documentation on the macro processing and see that this is passed on to his successor.

Jane reports that final edits still need to be made to Chapters 10 and 17. The ballot reconciliation packages are in.

The goal is to have all chapters edited and paperwork completed by the January WGM so that v2.6 can go to ballot in the May cycle.

The Ballot schedule for 2006 has not yet been approved, and ORC will propose moving from three to two ballot cycles in 2006. If there are three ballot cycles in 2006, the schedule would most likely be:

1. Ballot Requests would be due on February 19. The missing requests are from chapters:
   a. Chapter 3 – Patient Administration
   b. Chapter 4 – Orders
   c. Chapter 7 – Observations
   d. Chapter 12 – Patient Care
   e. Chapter 13 – Clinical Laboratory Automation
2. Chapters must be submitted to HQ by March 12.
3. Ballot Reconciliation must be complete and Reconciliation Packages sent to HQ by March 19. The Chapters that are missing Reconciliation Packages are:
   a. Chapter 3 – Patient Administration
   b. Chapter 4 – Orders
   c. Chapter 5 -- Queries
   d. Chapter 7 – Observations
   e. Chapter 12 – Patient Care
   f. Chapter 13 – Clinical Laboratory Automation


We need to follow up with the Chapters that we have not heard from and ensure that they have the necessary resources in place so that we can go to ballot in May. Pete will send a note to the owning committees before he leaves.

There was some discussion over whether there should be a motion made to the TSC about continuing work on Version 2, or whether an announcement would be sufficient. This will need to be resolved before the WGM in Phoenix.