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| --- | --- |
| HL7 Infrastructure and Messaging Meeting MinutesLocation: Suite 705 | Date: 20160511Time: Q1 |
| Facilitator | Tony Julian | Note taker(s) | Dave Shaver |
|  |
| Attendee | Name | Affiliation |
| X (Chair) | Julian, Anthony \* | Mayo Clinic |
| X (Scribe) | Shaver, Dave \* | Corepoint Health |
| X | Stuart, Sandy \* | Kaiser Permanente |
| X | Mead Walker |  |
| X | Austin Kreisler | Leidos |
| X | Craig Newman |  |
| X | Michelle Williamson | CDC |
| X | Hetty Khan | CDC |
| X | Sarah Gacint | Lantana |
| X | Peter Gilbert | Meridian Health Plan |
|  |  |  |
|  | \* Indicates co-chair |  |
|  |
| **Quorum Requirements Met:** [ ]  Yes / No *(quorum achieved as defined in the Work Group Decision Making Practices?)* |

**Agenda Topics**

1. Agenda review and approval (Suggestions or additions to and acceptance of agenda)
2. Approve previous meeting minutes
3. Proposal 845
4. V2CX Issue
5. Other Business and planning

Supporting Documents - *(List any/all documents to be provided at the meeting, including their URL if applicable. For a WGM, indicate if hardcopies will be supplied or attendees should print off copies themselves)*

* <http://www.hl7.org/memonly/dbtracker/display_detail.cfm?trackerid=845>
* <http://www.hl7.org/memonly/dbtracker/attach/845.doc>
* <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=V2CxIssue>
* <http://www.hl7.org/documentcenter/public/wg/inm/minutes/20160411_inm_minutes.docx>

Minutes/Conclusions Reached:

1. Called to order by Tony at 9:03am
2. Agenda *-* Approved
3. Minutes
	1. CX Issue -- <http://wiki.hl7.org/index.php?title=V2CxIssue>
		1. Discussion led by Mead Walker
		2. The challenge is that CX-1 is required so it can not be empty (“…|^^…|…”)
		3. There is no language in the 2.8 CX standard addressing the “all nines” concept
		4. *MOTION: In an implementation guide a special string may be introduced as a value for CX-1 to indicate an unknown value. Examples may include “999-99-9999”, UKN”, “NASK”, etc. Moved by Mead; second by Austin. Passed Unanimous.*
	2. *Proposal 845*
		1. *MOTION: “Approve 845 as written.” Craig moved/Sandy second. Passed Unanimous.*
	3. *V2 Product Family*
		1. *Review of the BAM. Broadly, we will continue “business as usual”. If we have question about methodology in the future, we’ll talk to the Governance Board rather than ARB.*
	4. *Proposal 833 -* [*http://www.hl7.org/memonly/dbtracker/display\_detail.cfm?trackerid=833*](http://www.hl7.org/memonly/dbtracker/display_detail.cfm?trackerid=833)
		1. *Correctly identifies that the examples need to be updated; the examples are not normative.*
		2. *MOTION: “Update the examples per proposal 833 as part of 2.9 editing process.” Proposed by Peter/Second by Dave. Passed Unanimous*
4. WGM in Baltimore
	1. We will meet again Q1 Tuesday
5. Adjourned 10:06am

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| HL7 Infrastructure and Messaging Meeting MinutesLocation: Suite 722 | Date: 20160510Time: Q3 |
| Facilitator |  Tony | Note taker(s) |  Sandy |
|  |
| Attendee | Name | Affiliation |
| X | Julian, Anthony \* | Mayo Clinic |
| R | Shaver, Dave \* | Corepoint Health |
| X | Stuart, Sandy \* | Kaiser Permanente |
| X | Ioana Singureanu | Eversolve LLC |
|  | \* Indicates co-chair |  |
|  |
| **Quorum Requirements Met:** [ ]  Yes  *(quorum achieved as defined in the Work Group Decision Making Practices?)* |

**Agenda Topics**

1. Agenda review and approval
2. How to handle null (empty space vs double “) – If CGIT wants to allow alternative to the including one segment with empty fields that a use case needs to be expressed. CGIT needs to provide examples in 2.10.4.11 to illustrate how deleting a segment may be possible without the use of the deletion indicator if in fact that is what is asked for.
	1. Action Item Rob Snelick to provide guidance that in specific use cases on segments and segment groups to delete indicator use.
	2. Action Item – Riki Merrick to provide examples.

Adjourned 2:15 PM ET

|  |
| --- |
| Actions *(Include Owner, Action item and due date)* |
| Next Meeting / Baltimore Q3 Tuesday |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| HL7 Infrastructure and Messaging Meeting Minutes – Joint with FHIR and ITSLocation: Suite 722 | Date: 20160510Time: Q4 |
| Facilitator |  Tony | Note taker(s) |  Sandy |
|  |
| Attendee | Name | Affiliation |
| X | Julian, Anthony \* | Mayo Clinic |
| R | Shaver, Dave \* | Corepoint Health |
| X | Stuart, Sandy \* | Kaiser Permanente |
| X | Peter Gilbert | Meridian Health Plan |
| X | Chris Grenz | AIC |
| X | Yunnei Wang | IMO |
| X | Dale Nelson | Accenture |
| X | Brian Pech | Kaiser Permanente |
| X | Ewout Kramer | HL7 |
| X | Paul Knapp | KCI |
| X | Bryn Rhodes | DLG |
| X | Emmanuel Helm | HL7 Australia |
| X | Reinherd Egelkraut | CGM |
| X | Richard Kavanaugh | HSCIC |
|  | \* Indicates co-chair |  |
|  |
| **Quorum Requirements Met:** [ ]  Yes  *(quorum achieved as defined in the Work Group Decision Making Practices?)* |

**Agenda Topics**

1. Agenda review and approval
2. FHIR Tracker – Currently using GForge – looking for a potential new tracker. PSS will start in June.
3. Message Header - Readiness for DSTU 3 – Ballot deadline is July 24th – what can be done by then. Message header and tracker items. What is the maturity level of message header? It is currently at 2. Can we reach the next level 3? FMM3 – is potentially doable. Is there a connectathon planned for Baltimore? TBD. Is this group working on new resources or profiles? No resources or profiles.

Motion: Tracker number 3071 - Change the binding to example instead of preferred. and create a representative exemplary value set (Ewout/Peter) – 13-0-0. Updated Tracker.

Update Tracker 3617 – We assert the event part of conformance should be turned into a message\_event definition analogous to the operation definition. Tracker updated – no motion.

Adjourned 5:00 PM ET

|  |
| --- |
| Actions *(Include Owner, Action item and due date)* |
| Next Meeting / FHIR/ITS Baltimore Q2 and Q4 |