

Introduction to HL7 RIM

HL7 Reference Information Model
(the “RIM”) – formally:
ANSI/HL7 RIM R1-2003
ISO 21731

Presented by:

George W. Beeler, Jr.

Health Level Seven, Inc

www.HL7.org

RIM Milestones

- Concept proposed in 1992 by ANSI/HISPP **Joint Working Group for a Common Data Model** (in which HL7 was a key participant)
- HL7 undertook development formally in 1997, building on models contributed by members
- Process of Harmonization established to advance the state of the model
- RIM 1.0 (first non-draft RIM) – Published Jan 2001
- **ANSI/HL7 RIM Release 1** – Approved July 2003
- **ISO 21731 (RIM Release 1)** approved 2006
- RIM changed to ANSI “Continuous Maintenance Process” January 2009
- First Ballot on RIM Release 2 opened March 30, 2009

HL7 – Version 3

- Initial HL7 standards (Version 2) were based on a pragmatic ‘just do it’ approach to standards
- HL7 saw the need to revise and formalize the process
 - to assure consistency of the standards
 - to meet plug’n’play demands
 - to be able to adopt and leverage new technologies for both HL7 and its users
- Adopted the new methodology in 1997
 - based on best development & design practices
 - supports ‘distributed’ development across committees
 - is technology neutral


HL7 Version 3

- Methodology based on shared models
 - Reference Information Model (RIM)
 - of the health care information domain
 - Defined vocabulary domains
 - Drawn from the best available terminologies
 - Directly linked to the RIM
 - Supported by robust communication techniques
- Harmonization process that
 - Assures each member and committee a voice in the process, yet
 - Produces a **single** model as the foundation for HL7 standards

The “essence” of Version 3

- Apply the ‘best practices’ of software development to developing standards – a model-based methodology
- Predicate all designs on three semantic foundations – a reference information model, a robust set of data types, and a complete, carefully-selected set of terminology domains
- Require all Version 3 standards to draw from these three common resources
- Use software-engineering style tools to support the process.

Class Diagram – Normative RIM Release 1



- 4 Primary Subject Areas
 - 35 Classes
 - 181 Attributes
 - 9 Associations
 - 28 Generalizations


Action – the focus of health care messaging

- The reason we want to automate health care data is to be able to document the **actions** taken to treat a patient:
 - A request or order for a test is an **action**
 - The report of the test result is an **action**
 - Creating a diagnosis based on test results is an **action**
 - Prescribing treatment based on the diagnosis is an **action**
- In simple terms, a medical record is a record of each of the individual **actions** that make up the diagnosis, treatment and care of a patient.


Five core concepts of the RIM

- Every happening is an **Act**
 - Procedures, observations, medications, supply, registration, etc.
- Acts are related through an **ActRelationship**
 - composition, preconditions, revisions, support, etc.
- **Participation** defines the context for an Act
 - author, performer, subject, location, etc.
- The participants are **Roles**
 - patient, provider, practitioner, specimen, employee etc.
- Roles are played by **Entities**
 - persons, organizations, material, places, devices, etc.

RIM Core Classes



Associations between Roles and Entities: “Played and Scoped”



Is “Act” sufficient?

- How can a single act class represent all of the elements of clinical action – their definition, request, order, report?
- Answer: the Act “**mood**” code –
“A code specifying whether the Act is an activity that has happened, can happen, is happening, is intended to happen, or is requested/demanded to happen.

Principle Act ‘moods’

definition (DEF) – Definition of an act, formerly a “master file”

intent (INT) – an intention to plan or perform an act

request (RQO) – a request or order for a service from a request “placer” to a request “fulfiller”


promise (PRMS) – intent to perform that has the strength of a commitment

confirmation (CNF) – promise that has been solicited via an order

event (EVN) – an act that actually happens, includes the documentation (report) of the event

Critical concept – “Mood” is not a status code. Each instance of the Act class may have one and only one value for ‘mood’ Thus, an act in “order” mood that orders an act in definition mood and results in an Act in ‘event’ mood are three different acts, related through the act relationship.

Mood code example



Consider the Act of “Room Cleaning”

- Mood: Proposal

- PRP

Why don't you clean your room today honey?

- Mood: Order/Request

- RQO

Clean your room!

- Mood: Promise


- PRMS

I will already!


- Mood: Event

- EVN

Room is cleaned.




RIM Core Classes



Brief Survey of RIM

- Basis of HL7 V3 is single model with only six back-bone classes and a couple of swedozen specializations.
- Abstracted by type hierarchies and “mood”
- Displayed on a single 8-1/2 x 11 sheet ---

RIM Core Classes



V3: All About Acts

Act

classCode: CS

moodCode: CS

id: SET<II>

code: CD


statusCode: SET <CS>

effectiveTime: GTS

7

Acts Have Class

- ENC - Encounter
- OBS - Observation (lab)
- SBADM - Substance Administration (pharmacy -admin)
- SPLY - Supply (pharmacy - dispense)
- CLINDOC - Document



Act.classCode :: CS (1..1) Mandatory

Concept domain: ActClass

Acts Can Have Codes

External coding systems:

- Lab Observation Act
Codes could be LOINC
codes.

```
<code  
code="1554-5"  
codeSystemName="LN"  
displayName="Serum Glucose"  
>
```


- HL7 defined:
 - Encounter Type are
Act Codes.

Encounter Type
Inpatient
Emergency
Ambulatory
Home Health

Act.code :: CD (0..1)

Concept domain: ActCode

Acts Have States



Act.statusCode :: SET<CS> (0..*)

Concept domain: ActStatus

Acts Have Moods...

- Further clarifies the meaning of the Act (like Class and Code)
- Specifies if this act is an actual fact (event), or an intention to perform an act - such as a command, goal, appointment, or proposal.
- Signifies a major modality or stage for which a permanent record must be obtained.
- Never changes.
- Alternatively, status can change. Status does not define the Act.

Act.moodCode :: CS (1..1) Mandatory

Concept domain: ActMood



Acts happen at specific times: Act.effectiveTime

Definition: A time expression specifying the focal or operative time of the Act, the primary time for which the Act holds, the time of interest from the perspective of the Act's intention.

Data Type = General Timing Specification (GTS)
Similar to V2 TQ repeat interval

Act.effectiveTime :: GTS (0..1)

Types of Act Relationships

- COMP - has component
- PERT - has pertinent info
- SEQL - is sequel
- OPTN - has option
- FLFS - fulfills
- RSON - has reason
- INST - instantiates
- PRCN - has precondition
- OUTC - has outcome
- ARR – arrived by
- SUCC - succeeds
- RPLC - replaces
- OCCR - occurrence
- REFV - has reference values
- AUTH - authorized by
- COST - has cost
- GOAL - has goal
- PREV - has previous instance

ActRelationship.typeCode :: CS (1..1) Mandatory

Concept domain: ActRelationshipType

Participation

- Describes the involvement of an *entity* in an *act*.
- The *entity* is playing a *role*
(Joe Smith plays doctor).
- The *role* participates in an *act*. Examples:
 - Author [of an order]
(Ordering Doctor)
 - Admitter [of an encounter]
(Admitting Doctor)

Types of Participations

- AUT - author
- ENT - data entry person
- CBC - call back contact
- PATSBJ - patient subject
- ADM - admitter
- PRF - performer
- ATND - attender
- CNS - consenter
- DIS - discharger
- SPC - specimen
- LOC - location
- CON- consultant
- DST - destination
- DEV - device
- TPA - therapeutic agent
- CSM - consumable
- RESPROV - responsible provider

Participation.typeCode :: CS (1..1) Mandatory

Concept domain: ParticipationType

Attributes have Data Types

33 V3 Data Types

**Next Release of
V3 Data Types
is in FINAL
ballot jointly
by HL7, ISO
TC 215 and
CEN TC 251
(April 2009)**

- ANY
- BL
- BN
- ED
- ST
- CD
- CS
- CO
- CE
- SC
- II
- TEL
- AD
- EN
- TN
- PN
- BAG
- IVL
- HIST
- UVP
- PIVL
- EIVL
- GTS
- PPD
- ON
- INT
- REAL
- RTO
- PQ
- MO
- TS
- SET
- LIST

**The Grouping: Data Types:
SET, BAG, LIST, IVL, GTS**

Many Attributes also have Vocabulary Constrains

Expressed as Concept
Domains or Value Sets

AcknowledgementCondition
..
WorkPlaceAddressUse

Coding Strength:

(for attributes with Vocabularies)

CNE = Coded No Exceptions

CWE = Coded With Exceptions


Act.classCode :: CS (1..1) Mandatory

Concept Domain: ActClass (CNE)

bind HL7 attributes to value sets from external or internal terminologies⁸

RIM: Food for Thought

- V3 Messages and Documents are derived from the RIM
- Other objects could also be created from the RIM.
- Do you have an application for the RIM?
- Some vendors are making their internal data models consistent or mappable with the RIM. They are prepared for V3 communication. Are you?



Thank You!



George W. Beeler, Jr., Ph.D.
Emeritus Staff, Mayo Foundation
Principal, Beeler Consulting LLC
807 Tenth Ave. SW
Rochester, MN 55902
(507)254-4810
woody@beelers.com